

# A SCALABLE APPROACH TO MODELING CASCADING RISK IN THE MDAP NETWORK

Anita Raja, Mohammad Rashedul Hasan, Shalini Rajanna  
Department of Software and Information Systems  
University of North Carolina at Charlotte



Ansaf Salleb-Aoussi  
Center for Computational Learning Systems  
Columbia University

*Acknowledgements: Dr. Maureen Brown UNCC, Mr. Rob Flowe AT&L, Jagan Vujjini, UNCC  
This material is based upon work supported by the Naval Postgraduate School Acquisition  
Research Program under Grant No. N00244-11-1-0024*



# MOTIVATION

- **Joint Capabilities:**
  - Future operating environment: uncertainty, complexity, rapid change, and persistent conflict.
  - Requires integrated approach (WSARA 2009)
- **Growing PE / MDAP interdependencies and complexity.**
  - Focus on funding interdependency



OTTE

STAKE YOUR CLAIM

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# RESEARCH PATHS

- **Network-centric approach** (Brown and Owen, 2012; Raja et al., 2012)
- **Automated analysis for correlation and associations** (Zhao et al, 2012)
- **Portfolio-based approach** (Davendralingam et al.)




UNC CHARLOTTE

**STAKE YOUR CLAIM**

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# OUR PREVIOUS WORK (RAJA ET AL.,2012, 2013):

- Data-driven approach to develop what-if models to predict early indicators of cascading risks.
- DAES reports of small set of MDAPs over several years.
- Results:
  - Non-local factors affect program outcomes: “program-centric” + “program network approach” for acquisition and management.
  - Cascading effects recast as a sequential decision problem.
  - **Tedious manual process** to build decision process model.



# CURRENT RESEARCH GOAL

- Automate Extraction & Analysis of MDAP DAES PSM, Issues, Actions:
  - DAES data for multiple programs over multiple years.
- Automate Extraction of Structural Properties of MDAP Network:
  - Identify funding neighbors based on PE and SAR data.
  - Determine link weights from PE perspective.
- Populate Decision Process Model.
- Identify challenges to data acquisition.




UNC CHARLOTTE

**STAKE YOUR CLAIM**

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# DECISION SUPPORT FRAMEWORK



**Legend:**

- ATIE\_MOD Automated Text & Image Extraction Module
- IID\_MOD Interdependency Determiner Module
- MNI\_MOD MDAP Network Identifier Module



UNC CHARLOTTE

STAKE YOUR CLAIM

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# MAIN CONTRIBUTIONS

- Network and Program Centric analysis.
- Novel Integration of methodologies for text and image analytics for **large scale automated data extraction**.
- Quantification of interdependency metric.
- Progress towards Decision support framework for MDAP cascading risk prediction.



UNC CHARLOTTE

**STAKE YOUR CLAIM**

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# INPUT DATA : PROGRAM STATUS MATRIX

MDAP_A		PROGRAM STATUS												Date
		-3 months	-2 months	-1 months	current	+1 months	+2 months	+3 months	+4 months	+5 months	+6 months	+7 months	+8 months	
■ Cost	APB	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
	Contract	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
■ Schedule	APB	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
	Contract	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
■ Performance	APB	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
	Contract	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
■ Funding	APB	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
	Contract	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
■ Life Cycle Sustainment	APB	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
	Contract	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	

Green- Contracts that are met,  
 Yellow- Resolvable Contracts  
 Red- Contracts that cannot be resolved



# INPUT DATA: ISSUE SUMMARY – 4 SAMPLE RECORDS

9

ISSUE SUMMARY	
ISSUES	ACTIONS
Schedule-The reflectors are undergoing design modifications as a result of thermal predicts that exceed allowable limits and PIM out of spec performance	Program Office is working to amend the contract and the incentive structure to maximize the likelihood for achieving the contractor's commit date
Cost Control- A Team, has been <b>unable to provide an accurate forecast</b> of projected cost.	XYZ has detailed manpower projections and is measuring each organization's effectiveness in <b>reducing headcount</b> , a metric considered key to managing costs



# MDP STATE FEATURE MATRIX

Feature Number	Feature Description	An example of Feature value
Feature 1	Program ID	MDAP_A
Feature 2	Current Year	2010
Feature 3	Current Month	April
Feature 4	Cost(APB) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 5	Cost(Contract) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<0,0,0,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 6	Schedule(APB) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0>
Feature 7	Schedule(Contract)status, for 9 months starting with current month	<0,0,0,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 8	Performance (APB) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 9	Performance(Contract) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 10	Funding(APB) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 11	Funding(Contract) status, for 9 months starting with current month	<1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1>
Feature 12	List of Issues	Cost unable to forecast
Feature 13	List of Actions	Reduce resources



# LATENT DIRICHLET ALLOCATION(LDA)

- A Document is a bag of words; random mixture of latent topics
- Unsupervised learning - uncover the latent topics characterized by a statistical distribution in a given set of document.
- Result in distribution of 1) topics across documents and 2) words across topics.
- Training data: DAES reports of MDAP\_A from 2007-2011 with 150 records

Farmers who received some government information late have been given additional time to file their 1987 federal income tax return. The internal revenue service said Monday it was granting special relief to farmer's who did not receive agriculture department documents by the Feb 15 scheduled payments and Form 1099-A, on which acquisitions or abandonments of secured property are reported.



LDA

Topic 0	Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3
Tax	last	cents	office
Money	week	future	department
Income	month	lower	general
Paid	days	farmers	investigation
Pay	april	cent	attorney
Trust	weeks	higher	justice
Fund	came	tons	government
Taxes	june	drought	law

\*\* David M. Blei, Andrew Y. Ng and Michael I. Jordan, Latent Dirichlet Allocation, *Published in the Journal of Machine Learning Research*, Volume 3, Pages 993-1022, March 2003

# MODEL PARAMETER ESTIMATION <sup>13</sup>

- Perplexity is a measure of model's ability to infer the topics in unseen documents.
- K= 15 for issues; 10 for actions.



# ISSUE AND ACTION TYPES


ISSUE	Issue Type	Description
Cost	Type 1	Repeated inability to accurately forecast cost
	Type 2	Overrun costs due to technical delays
	Type 3	Modifications to total cost to avoid going over budget
	Type 4	Overrun costs due to unanticipated extra elements in the project
Schedule	Type 1	Hardware Related Issues
	Type 2	Software Related Issues
	Type 3	International Collaboration Delay
	Type 4	Execution Delay due to failure to meet standards
	Type 5	Funding Delay
Logistics	Type 1	Ground Site is unavailable for installation.
Fund	Type 1	Funding has been aligned with estimate
	Type 2	Funding is short
	Type 3	Funding has been frozen
	Type 4	Funding is being reassessed due to errors or changes in need

ACTION	Issue Type	Description
Cost	Type 1a	Contractor Renegotiation
	Type 1b	Engage with contractor to address cost issues
	Type 2	Monitor performance
	Type 3	Manage cost by reducing head count
Schedule	Type 1a	Contractor examines schedule for the program to additional margin
	Type 1b	Amend contract
	Type 2a	Projection of schedule based on hardware and I&T progress
	Type 2b	Update schedule based on hardware and I&T progress
	Type 3	APB baseline change
	Type 4	Investigate alternate sites
	Type 5	Accelerate schedule for early contract reward
	Type 7	Accelerate schedule for Early contract reward
Funding	Type 1	Keep Navy and OSD informed of progress
	Type 2	Work with OSD and senior Leadership
	Type 3	Fund DoD Teleports
	Type 4	Monitor program to determine when to launch fund
	Type 5	Work with Air Force Space Command (AFSPC)



# AUTOMATED ANALYSIS : ISSUES

Comparative Study of ISSUES




Issue Cost 1 : Repeated inability to accurately forecast cost : Topic 15  
 Issue Schedule 1: Hardware related issue: Topic 10, 12 19



# AUTOMATED ANALYSIS : ACTIONS


Comparative Study of ACTIONS





# IMAGE ANALYSIS

Conversion of program Status matrix to numeric format,  
 Green -> 1  
 Yellow -> 0  
 Red -> -1



Item	P3	P2	P1	Current	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8
Cost_APB	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cost_Contract	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1
Schedule_APB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schedule_Contract	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1
Funding_APB	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Funding_Contract	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Life cycle Sustainment_APB	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Life cycle Sustainment_Contract	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

OpenCV – Open source Computer Vision software, java libraries is used for image analysis in this work.



# SAR EXTRACTION: COST SUMMARY, FUNDING SUMMARY, TRACK TO BUDGET

Total Acquisition Cost and Quantity

Appropriation	BY2004 \$M			TY \$M
	SAR Baseline Prod Est	Current APB Production Objective/Threshold	Current Estimate	
RDT&E	3245.2	3245.2	3569.7	3636.2
Procurement	2460.3	2460.3	2706.3	3104.1
Flyaway	2460.3	-	-	3104.1
Recurring	2460.3	-	-	2831.3
Non Recurring	0.0	-	-	-
Support	0.0	-	-	-
Other Support	0.0	-	-	-
Initial Spares	0.0	-	-	-
MILCON	30.7	30.7	33.0	-
Acq O&M	32.7	32.7	36.0	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>5768.9</b>	<b>5768.9</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* APB Branch

December 31, 2010 SAR

Cost and Funding

Cost Summary

Total Acquisition Cost and Quantity

Appropriation	BY2004 \$M	TY \$M
RDT&E	3245.2	3569.7
Procurement	2460.3	2706.3
Flyaway	2460.3	3104.1
Recurring	2460.3	2831.3
Non Recurring	0.0	-
Support	0.0	-
Other Support	0.0	-
Initial Spares	0.0	-
MILCON	30.7	33.0
Acq O&M	32.7	36.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>5768.9</b>	<b>N/A</b>

Note: The Current Estimate for Procurement (value differs from the \$779.5M CTC value reported in the SAR)

Quantity

Appropriation	Quantity
RDT&E	308.8
Procurement	308.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>308.8</b>

Program Name	Program Year	DoD Component	Track to Budget	Cost and Funding	Cost Summary	Total Acquisition Cost and Quantity			
31-Dec-10	Navy								
APPN 1319	BA 07	(Navy)							
Total Acquisition Cost and Quantity									
Appropriation	BY2004 \$M	TY \$M							
RDT&E	3245.2	3569.7							
Procurement	2460.3	2706.3							
Flyaway	2460.3	3104.1							
Recurring	2460.3	2831.3							
Non Recurring	0.0	-							
Support	0.0	-							
Other Support	0.0	-							
Initial Spares	0.0	-							
MILCON	30.7	33.0							
Acq O&M	32.7	36.0							
<b>Total</b>	<b>5768.9</b>	<b>N/A</b>							
Funding Summary									
Appropriation and Quantity Summary									
Appropriation	Prior	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	To Complete	Total
RDT&E	1681.4	595.7	512.8	555.2	249.7	108.9	48.1	577.9	3727.7
Procurement	0.0	203.7	505.5	508.6	519.1	222.0	57.5	546.4	2890.8
MILCON	30.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.1
Acq O&M	13.5	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.3
PS 2008 Total	1728.0	815.0	1028.3	1074.8	768.8	328.9	115.6	1024.3	6681.7
PS 2008 Total	1735.9	827.4	1035.9	1081.4	780.0	335.8	119.3	1037.5	6732.9
Data	14.8	14.8	37.4	58.0	125.8	53.1	3.7	28.8	308.8

# PE Extraction

## RDT&E appropriations

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO <sup>**</sup>	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	4,174.496	258.811	188.482	66.231	-	66.231	33.188	24.324	7.434	22.180	Continuing	Continuing
0728: EHF SATCOM Terminals	586.077	17.476	31.731	21.077	-	21.077	19.502	13.693	0.000	14.557	Continuing	Continuing
0731: FLTSATCOM	15.209	4.155	10.828	9.202	-	9.202	5.210	3.469	0.000	0.000	0.000	48.073
2472: Mobile User Objective Sys (MUOS)	3,573.210	237.180	145.923	35.952	-	35.952	8.476	7.162	7.434	7.623	130.912	4153.872

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO <sup>**</sup>	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Total	Complete Cost
Total Program Element	4,174.496	258.811	188.482	66.231	-	66.231	33.188	24.324	7.434	22.180	Continuing	Continuing
0728: EHF SATCOM Terminals	586.077	17.476	31.731	21.077	-	21.077	19.502	13.693	0	14.557	Continuing	Continuing
0731: FLTSATCOM	15.209	4.155	10.828	9.202	-	9.202	5.210	3.469	0	0.000	0	48.073
2472: Mobile User Objective Sys (MUOS)	3,573.210	237.180	145.923	35.952	-	35.952	8.476	7.162	7.434	7.623	130.912	4153.872

Excel Format

UNC CHARLOTTE

STAKE YOUR CLAIM

WWW.UNCC.EDU

# LESSONS LEARNED

- **Text and image extraction/analysis:**
  - Existing tools and technologies can support large scale automated analysis of DAES, PE docs, SARS
  - Topic models built from MDAP hub data seem to be relevant to neighbors.
  - Challenges: Formatting and Content inconsistencies; Missing data reports.
- **Funding dependency measure can be obtained from PE and SAR data:**
  - Funding amounts for the MDAPs as captured from the PE documents and respective SARs sometimes don't match
  - Funded MDAPs are not always listed in the Funding Summary page of PE: e.g., can be siphoned through a non-MDAP.

# FUTURE WORK

- Large-scale extraction of entire set of available MDAP data.
- Populate Decision-theoretic model
  - State features, Action Space, Probability Transitions and Reward Functions (G,Y,R)
- Run “what-if” simulations.
- Extend to Data Network and MAIS networks.



UNC CHARLOTTE

**STAKE YOUR CLAIM**

WWW.UNCC.EDU



UNC CHARLOTTE

**STAKE YOUR CLAIM**

WWW.UNCC.EDU