Why Do Programs Fail? An Analysis of Defense Program Manager Decision Making in Complex and Chaotic Program Environments

Raymond D. Jones





NAVAL Postgraduate School

The Pentagon now spends about \$21.6 million every hour to procure new military systems. As the cost and complexity of defense acquisitions programs continue to spiral out of control, many defense experts believe runaway military spending is unsustainable. Meanwhile, soldiers in the field are being denied much-need equipment, while civilian programs go unfunded.



"IEEE Spectrum"

The Problem

n

2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015





What did they want?





Acquisition Research Methods





Research Methods



- How should we study the problem?
 - Quantitative methods emphasize objective measurements and the statistical, mathematical, or numerical analysis of data collected through polls, questionnaires, and surveys, or by manipulating pre-existing statistical data using computational techniques.
 - Qualitative Research is primarily exploratory research. It is used to gain an understanding of underlying reasons, opinions, and motivations. It provides insights into the problem or helps to develop ideas or hypotheses for potential quantitative research.
 - Mixed methods research is a methodology for conducting research that involves collecting, analyzing and integrating <u>quantitative</u> (e.g., experiments, surveys) and <u>qualitative</u> (e.g., focus groups, interviews) research. This approach to research is used when this integration provides a better understanding of the research problem than either of each alone.



NAVAL Postgraduate School

How Do You Research Acquisition?

QUALITATIVE (Insights) Diary/journal studies **Field studies** Usability testing User interviews (contextual inquiry) Participatory design Focus groups Eye tracking Card sorting **GOALS & ATTITUDES** BEHAVIORS (What people do) (What people say) Customer support data analysis Automated usability testing (e.g., Vividence, Keynote WebEffective) User surveys Site traffic/log file analysis A/B testing QUANTITATIVE (Validation)

Research Objective



NAVAL Postgraduate School

The problem this research seeks to understand is the underlying nature of why program manager's decision making does not consistently manifest in improved program performance.



What's the Difference?



Phased Approach



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

- 1. What is the underlying nature of how decision makers gain a sense of reality by which their decisions are subsequently informed within the unique construct of their functional framework?
- 2. How do program managers of Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs) make sense of complex and chaotic program environments, and does this differ from other professions that operate in complex environments?

DIKW Model



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL



Knowledge

Experience, values, context applied to a message

Information

A message meant to change the reader's perception

Data

Discrete, objective facts of an event

Method



- Qualitative approach using Grounded Theory
- Focus a leaders attempts of establishing reality within a complex and chaotic environment
- Conduct detailed literature review
- Conduct functional area independent interviews and analyze





First Order Codes and Aggregate Categories

NAVAL Postgraduate School

12

Second Order Analytic Code	Aggregate Category
1. Retrospective	Sensemaking
2. Plausibility	
3. Social Identity	
4. Organizing	
5. Ability	Trust
6. Benevolence	
7. Integrity	
8. Experience	- Tacit Knowledge
9. Know-how	
10. Codified	Explicit Knowledge
11. Logical	
12. Deduction	

Table 1. Conceptual Nousmaking Categories

Hypothesis 1: Nousmaking is independent of the functional clarity of the operational environment in which choices are formulated.



Initial Coding Summary



Figure 4: Second-Order Code Summary by Number of Observations for All Interviewees



Aggregate Category Summary

NAVAL Postgraduate School



Figure 3: Summary of the Total Number of Observations of the Aggregate Categories during a Chaotic Decision-Making Window for All Interviewees



Nousmaking and Decisions

NAVAL Postgraduate School



Figure 6: Relationship Between Eisenhardt (1989) Model of Strategic Decision Speed in High-Velocity Environments, With Aggregate Categories



Nousmaking and Decisions

NAVAL Postgraduate School



Figure 5: Interactive Relationship Between Four Aggregate Categories and Decision Effectiveness



- What's Next?
- More in depth literature search
- More Interviews
 - Cross discipline
- More coding
- Move from Nousmaking to Functional Alignment to begin to assess "What's Different".

Why is This Important?



- Chaotic and complex decision-making environments are not limited to combat scenarios.
- The nonlinearity of these events in which human decision making is predicated by chaos may have certain similarities and patterns that can be studied with regard to their association with the individuals involved in the decision-making process.
- Complex and high risk business environments can also manifest themselves in a chaotic or unpredictable nature and could be subject to the same cognitive processes as combat.
- If we better understood the Nousmaking that leads to making effective decisions in ambiguous environments, perhaps future organizational and leadership theory and methods could be better tailored to the environment, leading to more predictable outcomes.