Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must Be Adopted within Acquisition Research

Chair: Robert Stoddard Principal Researcher

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

Panel Introductions



Anandi Hira USC; Paper #1





Dr. William Nichols SEI; Paper #2



Dr. David Danks CMU; Discussant; Causal Theory

Dr. Ray Madachy NPS; Discussant; Cost/Acquisition



Dr. Ricardo Valerdi U of Az: Discussant; Cost/Acquisition



Dr. Mike Konrad SEI; Discussant; Causal Theory

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

Why Do We Care about Causation?



http://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

More about Misinterpreting Correlation!



Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

The Causal Learning Landscape



Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

Tetrad (Open Source) for Causal Discovery

May be found at:

http://www.phil.cmu.edu/tetrad/

Code located at github:

https://github.com/cmu-phil/tetrad

Video Tutorials from 2016 Summer Short Course

http://www.ccd.pitt.edu/training/presentation-videos/

User Manual



Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

Benefits to Acquisition Research

- Benefits to experimentation and further research
 - Offer <u>alternative to expensive</u>, prohibitive experiments of acquisition factors
 - Eliminate many non-causal factors from unnecessary further research
 - Improve the <u>repeatability and reproducibility</u> of research
 - Reduce time and cost of <u>acquisition program interventions</u>
 - Integrate separate causal conclusions towards a <u>holistic acquisition</u> <u>causal model</u>
- Policy and practice informed by evidence and information about causality

Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

Context of Two Papers

Paper 1: "Comparing Causal Search Analyses with Traditional Statistical Methods"

presented by Anandi Hira

Paper 2: "Inferring Causality with Data from Personal Software Process"

presented by Dr. William Nichols

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University

Panel Contact Information

Robert W. Stoddard 412-268-1121 rws@sei.cmu.edu

Anandi Hira 213-821-4069 <u>a.hira@usc.edu</u>

William Nichols 412-268-1727 wrn@sei.cmu.edu Ricardo Valerdi 213-440-4378 <u>rvalerdi@email.arizona.edu</u>

David Danks 412-268-8047 ddanks@cmu.edu

Mike Konrad 412-268-5813 mdk@sei.cmu.edu

Ray Madachy 619-847-0986 rjmadach@nps.edu

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Panel 25: Why Causal Learning Must be Adopted within Acquisition Research © 2018 Carnegie Mellon University