

F-35 Joint Strike Fighter What Actions Are Needed To Improve Aircraft Reliability?



Source: © 2016 Lockheed Martin. | GAO-19-341



GAO Reports on F-35 Reliability

- Source of our work: The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 included a provision for GAO to review the F-35 acquisition program until it reaches full-rate production, looking at all aspects of the acquisition program.
 - April 29, 2019, <u>GAO-19-341</u>, F-35 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER: Action Needed to Improve Reliability and Prepare for Modernization Efforts
 - June 5, 2018, <u>GAO-18-321</u>, F-35 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER: Development Is Nearly Complete, but Deficiencies Found in Testing Need to Be Resolved



The F-35's Reliability & Maintainability (R&M)

- 1. Background
- 2. F-35 R&M Performance
- 3. Why isn't the F-35 meeting all of its metrics?
- 4. What We Recommended
- 5. What the F-35 Program Is Doing



Background

- DOD estimates that it will cost over \$1.1 trillion to sustain the F-35 through 2077
- F-35 R&M performance is measured against eight metrics
- The program's Operational Requirements Document (ORD) outlined these eight R&M metrics; it was approved in 2000
- Only half of the ORD metrics were included in the F-35 total system performance responsibility (TSPR) development contract.



F-35 R&M Performance

- All F-35 variants generally performed near or above targets for the four contractual R&M metrics while the other four still fell short
- Several metrics (including contractual) have not been met at the F-35As design maturity—July 2018, and are projected to not be met for the F-35B and F-35C's design maturity—in 2021 and 2024.
 - For example, according to DOT&E, "All mean repair times are longer, some up to more than twice as long, as their original ORD threshold values for maturity, reflecting a heavy maintenance burden on fielded units."
- We found that the program had not taken adequate steps to ensure all the variants would meet all of the R&M metrics, and this is still true as of April 2020



F-35 R&M Performance, as of Aug. 2019

Metric	On Contract	F-35A	F-35B	F-35C
Mission Reliability	~	0	•	_
Mean flight hours between failure—design controlled	~	•	•	•
Mean time to repair	~	0	0	•
Maintenance man hours per flight hour	~	•	lacksquare	•
Mean flight hours between maintenance events		0	•	•
Mean flight hours between removals		0	0	0
Mean flight hours between critical failure		0	0	0
Mean corrective maintenance time for critical failure		0	0	0

Legend	Symbol
Metric is at or above current targets	•
Metric is at or above minimum targets	lacksquare
Metric is below minimum targets	0
Metric is not available	
Metric is contractually required	~



Why isn't the F-35 meeting all of its metrics?

- From the start of the F-35's development, the program chose to include only half of the ORD metrics in the contract
- The F-35 program office acknowledged it was not focused on meeting the R&M metrics.
 - Program officials acknowledged that its improvement plan does not include an objective to meet the eight ORD metrics
 - Program officials are using the R&M improvement plan to prioritize funding for projects that are broadly aimed at improving aircraft availability (fleet goal of 65%) and mission capability (fleet goal of 80%)—neither of which are specifically aligned with the eight R&M metrics, but are necessary and important initiatives



Recommendations in GAO-19-341 and GAO-18-321

- Identify what steps are needed to ensure the aircraft meet R&M targets before each variant reaches maturity and update its improvement plan with these steps
- Assesses whether the ORD R&M targets are still feasible and revise the ORD accordingly
- 3. As it revises its R&M improvement plan, identifies specific and measurable R&M objectives in its plan
- 4. As it revises its plan, identify and document which improvement projects will achieve those R&M objectives
- 5. Prioritizes funding for the improvement plan



What the F-35 Program is Doing

- It is addressing all 5 recommendations:
 - revising the improvement plan (as of September-November 2019)
 - Identifying what ORD revisions are needed
 - Moving scope of improvement plan to non-annualized contract
 - Allocating more funding for R&M improvements in 2020 and future years
- Is required to report to Congress on it R&M per the 2020 NDAA, section 167
 - Review and assess R&M
 - Determine feasibility of ORD metrics, and any changes to them
 - Certification that the improvement plan
 - ✓ Identifies specific and measurable objectives, and
 - ✓ Which improvement projects help to achieve those objective



In Closing

The F-35 program office has estimated that implementing all of the identified improvement projects currently contained in its R&M improvement plan could result in potential life cycle cost savings of over \$9.2 billion by improving the F-35's R&M.



Source: © Lockheed Martin. | GAO-20-339



GAO on the Web

Web site: http://www.gao.gov/

Congressional Relations

Orice Williams Brown, Managing Director, WilliamsO@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800, U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street, NW, Room 7149, Washington, DC 20548

Copyright

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.