



Analyzing Noise in Contracting Officer Decision-Making

Dr. James Rich University of Virginia

Capt. Richard Wahidi, USAF Air Force Installation Contracting Center

> Dr. Rene G. Rendon Naval Postgraduate School

> > The Nation's Premiere Defense Research University

Monterey, California
WWW.NPS.EDU





- Contracting officers make decisions necessary for effective contract management, ensuring compliance with the terms of the contract, and safeguarding the interests of the United States in its contractual relationships.
- These contracting decisions are based on contracting officers' knowledge and experience in contract management principles more so than by government rigid rules or checklists.
- In making these decisions, contracting officers are allowed wide latitude to exercise business judgment. This wide latitude may result in variability in these decisions, often referred to as "noise".





DECISION MAKING

Noise: How to Overcome the High, Hidden Cost of **Inconsistent Decision** Making

by Daniel Kahneman, Andrew M. Rosenfield, Linnea Gandhi, and Tom Blaser

FROM THE OCTOBER 2016 ISSUE

Harvard Business Review

How Noise and Bias Affect Accuracy









D. Noisy and biased

NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLER "A genuinely new idea so exceedingly important you will immediately put it into practice . . . A masterpiece." - Angela Duckworth, author of Grit NOISE A FLAW IN HUMAN JUDGMENT DANIEL KAHNEMAN AUTHOR OF THINKING, FAST AND SLOW OLIVIER SIBONY CASS R. SUNSTEIN



Research Approach

Research Purpose

 The purpose of this exploratory research is to investigate the level of variability (noise) in contracting officer decisions.

Research Question

– What extent does variability in decisions (noise) exist in the contracting officer/contract manager workforce"?

Research Method

- Survey deployed to workforce with scenario-based questions.





- Deployment of a Qualtrics-based survey to a population of contracting officers/contract managers.
- Surveys consisted of scenario-based questions requiring a contracting decision.
- Scenarios included multiple options, with one option to be selected by the respondents.
- Scenarios and questions are the type that there is no one correct answer.



Demographics

Years of CM					
Experience		Employment Sector			
3 or Less	0	Public (Buyer)	18		
4 to 8	3	Private (Buyer)			
9 to 13	8	Private (Seller)	20		
14 to 19	10	Academia	8		
20 or More	21				
		CO Warrant	19		
		Professional			
DAWIA /FAC-C Level		Certification			
None	19	CFCM	16		
1	2	CCCM	1		
П	1	CPCM	15		
Ш	18				

The number of survey responses ranged from 40 to 43 responses

Scenario	Contract		Option	Option	Option	Option	Option
Number	Life Cycle	Decision Issue	Α	В	С	D	E
Number	Phase*		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	Post-Award	Specification interpretation	28	2	70	n/a	n/a
2	Award	Source selection decision	7	12	81	n/a	n/a
3	Post-Award	Schedule extension determination	58	42	n/a	n/a	n/a
4	Post-Award	REA for government delay of payment and schedule	83	12	5	n/a	n/a
5	Award	Challenge to competitive range determination	27	34	39	n/a	n/a
6	Pre-Award	Contract schedule development	0	48	24	19	10
7	Post Award	REA for increased level of effort	0	75	25	n/a	n/a
8	Pre-Award	Requesting certified cost/pricing data	48	50	3	n/a	n/a
9	Award	Contract price negotiation	38	0	45	14	2
10	Award	Determining timely receipt of proposals	5	57	38	n/a	n/a
11	Award	Determining delivery date for EOFY purchase	17	56	2	24	n/a

^{*}As reflected in the NCMA Contract Management Standard, 3rd edition.





- Preliminary findings indicate there is some variability (noise) in the decisions made by our respondents.
- Contracting professionals complete a structured and regulated contracts training program, yet, there appears to still be some level of variability in contracting decisions.



- It would not be expected to have no noise or zero variability in contracting officer decisions; some variability in decisions is expected in contracting officers' use of judgment and policy interpretation.
- Senior leaders should acknowledge that variability in contracting decisions exists, have an appreciation for the potential causes of variability (e.g., types of biases), and determine how to limit the extent of unwarranted or unwanted noise in contracting decisions.



Recommendations

 Senior leaders may not be able to conduct a full-up noise audit to identify and quantify noise across the organization, but they can examine discreet decision processes for evidence of variability.

• If the variance is unwarranted and unwanted, leaders have a manageable problem to mediate.



Questions/Comments

Rene G. Rendon
Associate Professor
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA

rgrendon@nps.edu

