Model-based Approach in Defense Portfolio Management: Data Preparation, Analysis, and Visualization of Decision Spaces

> 20th Annual Acquisition Research Symposium Naval Postgraduate School

Presented by Dr. Cesare Guariniello and Dr. Waterloo Tsutsui

Coauthors: Dr. Kshitij Mall, Dr. Frank Patterson, Dr. Santiago Balestrini-Robinson, Dr. Jitesh Panchal, and Dr. Daniel DeLaurentis

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges financial support from the U.S. Department of Defense through SERC/AIRC on research task WRT 1049.5, contract no. HQ0034-19-D-0003 and report no. AIRC-2022-TR-007.







Assisted by:

Research Question and Issues

- Question: How can we develop prototype tools to enhance <u>data-driven</u> <u>decision-making</u> for the DoD's Integrated Acquisition Portfolio Review (IAPR) process?
 - Utilize the portfolio-centric approach
 - Enhance and adapt an existing research product called the System-of-Systems Analytic Workbench (AWB), which consists of various tools



Prototype Tools I/O and relationships



Research Methodology

AWB interoperability, extensibility, and usability upgrades:

• RPO

- Migrate RPO to a fully Python-based application (previously, MATLAB license required)
- Provide I/O data control and validation methods
- Integrate RPO into a controlled Python product with available pip and Anaconda packages
- Add unit and integration testing, static code analysis, and implementation of CI/CD
- Convert the input for RPO into compact JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) file (previously, MS Excel)
- Provide interactive interface for I/O using Jupyter Notebooks
- SODA
 - Integrate SODA into a controlled Python product with available pip and Anaconda packages
 - Add unit and integration testing, static code analysis, and implementation of CI/CD
- SDDA
 - Integrate SDDA into a controlled Python product with available pip and Anaconda packages

Application

 Apply a notional anti-surface warfare (ASuW) scenario to demonstrate the application of the prototype tool



Results



Alternative	0	1	2	3	4	5	
Objective Value	10.7	10.7	10.7	22.6	22.2	21.8	
Cost	\$ 50.00	\$ 49.94	\$ 49.96	\$ 103.53	\$ 103.53	\$ 103.57	
Max Conservatism	0.2	0.7	1.2	0.2	0.7	1.2	
Legacy SAR Satellite	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Small SAR Satellite	1	1	1	2	2	2	
EO/IR Satellite	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Comm Satellite	2	2	2	4	4	4	
MQ-4C	12	12	12	15	15	20	
P-8A	4	4	4	3	4	4	
EA-18G	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F/A-18E/F	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MH-60S	0	0	0	0	0	1	
F-35B	0	0	0	8	8	0	
F-35C	0	0	0	0	0	0	
INDEPENDENCE (LCS-2)	0	1	1	0	0	1	
FREEDOM (LCS-1)	1	0	0	0	0	0	
ARLEIGH BURKE (DDG-51)	1	1	1	1	1	2	
MAHAN (DDG-72)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OSCAR AUSTIN (DDG-79)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Alternatives 6-38
JACK LUCAS (DDG-125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	were omitted
ZUMWALT (DDG-1000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TICONDEROGA (CG-47)	0	0	0	0	0	0	from this figure
BUNKER HILL (CG-52)	0	0	0	1	1	0	
WASP (LHD-1)	0	0	0	1	1	0	
AMERICA (LHA-6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FORD (CVN-78)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AGM-84H/K	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BGM-109 Blk V	5	5	5	0	0	28	
RIM-174	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AGM-158D JASSM-XR	0	0	0	27	25	0	
AGM-158C LRASM	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AGM-84D	6	6	6	6	7	7	
AGM-84F	2	2	2	0	1	1	
RGM-84F	8	8	8	16	16	16	
AGM-119	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RGM-184A (NSM)	1	1	1	0	0	1	
AGM-114L	19	19	19	0	0	26	
Navy Officer Personnel	64	66	67	133	137	109	
Navy Enlisted Personnel	439	419	420	1277	1285	747	
Navy Flight Personnel	9	11	12	15	19	15	

	39	40	41	42	43	44
	159.6	157.6	156.5	169.5	166.7	164.6
	\$746.40	\$ 746.40 \$ 746.36		\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	\$800.00
	0.2	0.7	1.2	0.2	0.7	1.2
	1	1	0	0	0	0
	5	5	8	8	8	8
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	20	20	20	20	20	20
	20	20	20	7	1	0
	4	4	4	4	4	4
	0	0	3	1	1	1
	83	114	107	92	88	87
	1	1	0	0	1	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	77	46	50	67	71	72
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	2	2	3	3	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	1	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	3	3	2	3	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	145	174	179	211	228	230
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	99	61	60	77	66	61
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	174	236	221	191	183	113
	0	0	1	1	1	69
	48	40	40	48	48	48
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	864	847	863	849	851	854
	6668	6395	6409	6630	6655	6633
	171	173	180	183	175	174

Conclusions and Future Work

- The research team adapted a previously developed SoS-AWB to inform decisions in IAPRs.
 - Improvements in usability and interfaces
 - Adaptation to various inputs
 - Modularization
- These advanced prototypes provide broader insights (e.g., resource tradeoffs, cost-sensitivity analysis, and the most robust ASuW systems to be acquired in specific portfolios) for the stakeholder's decisionmaking process.
- Future work will improve the tools to identify the following: how risk aversion affects portfolio optimization; technical dependencies among systems; developmental dependencies; and portfolio performance effects from stakeholder decisions.

SYSTEM OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

SYSTEM OF SYSTEMS MODELING AND ANALYSIS



DANIEL A. DELAURENTIS KUSHAL MOOLCHANDANI CESARE GUARINIELLO



Thank you

If interested, detailed description of AWB in:

DeLaurentis, Moolchandani, Guariniello "System of Systems Modeling and Analysis"

(The first System of Systems college textbook)