



U.S. Army Contracting Agency



Army Quality Assurance & Administration of Strategically Sourced Services

COL Tony Incorvati
ITEC4, ACA

*Information Technology, E-Commerce and
Commercial Contracting Center*





U.S. Army Contracting Agency



Services Procurement

- **Hybrid Approach**
 - **Decentralization**
 - **PM/PEOs w/ dollars and authority**
 - **w/ contract solution set made available for them to use under one of the following:**
 1. **Preferred, not mandatory (“Young Rule”)**
 2. **Waiver ability (Army Small Computer Program)**
 3. **Track “Rogue Spend” (Army Wireless)**

*Information Technology, E-Commerce and
Commercial Contracting Center*





U.S. Army Contracting Agency



Services Contract Administration Difficulties

- Metrics nearly non-existent, and when they are, very in-precise
- Creates wide dispersion of success
- DCMA is non-player, leaving the Military Services with the mission
- Military Services assume the risk of poor admin vs. allocating resources to pre-award functions

Information Technology, E-Commerce and
Commercial Contracting Center





U.S. Army Contracting Agency



Services Contract Administration Difficulties

- Army is no different
- PCO also works as an ACO w/ a COR, or assigns to an ACO (if available) w/ COR
- COR in the Army is the critical “link”
- Normally an additional duty, never enough time to do it right, and sometimes lack technical capabilities

Information Technology, E-Commerce and
Commercial Contracting Center





U.S. Army Contracting Agency



Army – PEO for Services

- **Establishment of a single PEO for oversight and management of the acquisition of Services**
- **Still in debate**
- **“BIG” Monster, too big in the view of many**
- **Culture shift required from decentralization to centralization (budget \$, command and control, ability to influence program success, etc.)**

